UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,122	09/18/2006	Manfred Meinherz	2004P04296	9387
24131 7590 10/16/2008 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480			EXAMINER	
			TALPALATSKIY, ALEXANDER	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/593,122	MEINHERZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ALEXANDER TALPALATSKIY	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was prepared to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
'=	-						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	WI HOIH CONSIDERATION.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/18/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the contact piece claimed in claims 12 and 13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,122 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. In re claim 7, the phrase "blocking device has become effective" is unclear.

 Specifically the term "effective" is indefinite and needs to be rephrased to be rephrased to clearly represent the function of the blocking device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peek et al. (US 2790095).
- 5. In re claim 7, Peek et al., in figures 1-6, and more specifically in figure 1, discloses a device comprising and input shaft and an output shaft a magnetic coupling connecting said input shaft and said output shaft, said magnetic coupling (between members 3 and 4 connected to the shafts) having at least two magnet pairs; a blocking device (6) disposed to limit a rotatability of said output shaft in a first direction of rotation and, wherein, when said blocking device has become effective, and owing to magnetic forces emanating from said magnetic coupling, said output shaft is rotated in a second direction of rotation opposite to the first direction of rotation.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,122 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

6. In re claim 8, Peek et al., in figure 1 and in the description of figure 1 in the specification, discloses that said input shaft is moved and continues to be moved when said output shaft is blocked.

- 7. In re claim 9, Peek et al., in figure 1 and in the description of figure 1 in the specification, discloses a transition to the second direction of rotation of said output shaft is a substantially sudden transition.
- 8. In re claim 10, Peek et al., in figure 1, discloses said blocking device is a first blocking device (6), and a second blocking device (6') is disposed to cause a reversal of a movement of said output shaft from the second direction of rotation to the first direction of rotation.
- 9. In re claim 11, the method steps described are inherent in the structure of the apparatus.
- 10. In re claims 12 and 13, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).*

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. A list of pertinent prior art is attached in form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEXANDER TALPALATSKIY whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/593,122 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

number is (571)270-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elvin G Enad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2832 Alexander Talpalatskiy Examiner Art Unit 2832